UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/286,249	04/05/1999	BRUCE W. STELMAN	HELLO-00308	4721
	7590 05/26/201 X & OWENS LLP	EXAMINER		
162 N WOLFE	ROAD		ELAHEE, MD S	
SUNNYVALE, CA 94086			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2614	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/26/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/286,249	STELMAN, BRUCE W.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	MD S. ELAHEE	2614
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING ID.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDO	ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 in 2a)       This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)       This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)       This action is application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, p	
Disposition of Claims		
4)  Claim(s) 41-58 and 63 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 41-58 and 63 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correctable  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	ccepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Sometion is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applic ority documents have been rece au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ived in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5)  Notice of Informa 6)  Other:	

Response to Amendment

1. This action is responsive to an amendment filed on 03/11/2010. Claims 41-58 and 63 are

pending. Claims 59-62 have been previously cancelled.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed in 03/11/2010 have been fully considered but they are not

persuasive because of the following:

Rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph:

The applicant argues on pages 10-11 that the specification fully supports "determines a

preferred switch configuration....." features be performed. Examiner respectfully disagrees with

this argument. It is because, none of the cited portions of the original specification explains as to

how "determines a preferred switch configuration.....from the handset port comprise the

handset port receive path" features be performed.

Thus, the rejection of the claims will remain.

Claim Objections

3. Claims 41 and 63 are objected to because of the following informalities: Claim 41 recites

the citation "the appropriate signal lines" in line 6 and "the handset port receive path" in line 11

of the claim. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. It appears that

the citation in claim 41 will be "appropriate signal lines" and "a handset port receive path".

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim 63 recites the citation "the appropriate signal" in line 12. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. It appears that the citation in claim 63 will be "appropriate signal line". Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

5. Claims 41-58 and 63 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter, which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. For example, claim 41 recites "automatically determines which of the plurality of signal lines from the handset port comprise the handset port receive path" (see claim 41, lines 7-9) and "determines a preferred switch configuration from among a plurality of switch configurations based upon which of the plurality of signal lines from the handset port comprise the handset port receive path" (see claim 41, lines 9-13). In page 4 of applicant's remarks filed 08/23/1999, the applicant argues that the support can be found for both of the limitations in page 13, lines 19-27. In pages 3-4 of applicant's remarks filed 07/20/2005, the applicant further argues

that there is no doubt for support of both of the limitations in page 17, lines 5-13 of the original specification.

Page 4

After careful review of the cited portions examiner does not find the support for "automatically determines which of the plurality of signal lines from the handset port comprise the handset port receive path". In light of the original specification, handset/headset are accessories 60 or 62 in Fig.6 which can be connected with telephone base unit 54 or 58 in Fig.6 through interface 50. The cited portion in page 17, lines 5-13 of the original specification describes that the digital MCU 100 will begin manipulating the crosspoint switch array 2 by sequentially coupling pairs of the transmit output ports starting with the most probable pairs defined in the system algorithms. When the digital MCU 100 senses the 1 KHz signal it will have successfully located the appropriate transmit lines and will latch the information. However, the cited portion does not specify whether this transmit output ports/lines are the claimed "the handset port comprise the handset port receive path". The original specification fails to explain as to how "automatically determines....." and "determines a preferred switch configuration....." features be performed. One of ordinary skill in the art would not be able to make the claimed features without undue experimentation.

Claims 51, 56, 57 and 63 are rejected for the same reasons as discussed above with respect to claim 41. Since claims 42-50, 52-55 and 58 are dependent claims, these claims are also rejected.

Application/Control Number: 09/286,249

Art Unit: 2614

Allowable Subject Matter

Page 5

6. Claims 41-58 and 63 are allowable in view of the prior art and the application will be

allowed if claim objections and the 112 issues have be corrected.

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to MD S. ELAHEE whose telephone number is (571)272-7536. The

examiner can normally be reached on MON-FRI.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, FAN TSANG can be reached on (571)272-7547. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/MD S ELAHEE/

MD SHAFIUL ALAM ELAHEE

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2614

May 25, 2010